arXiv:1408.2366v1 [hep-th] 11 Aug 2014

Casimir energy in a spherical surface within surface impedance approach: the Drude model

Luigi Rosa[1,2,†], **Lucia Trozzo**[*]

[1]Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II,
Complesso Universitario di Monte Sant'Angelo,
Via Cintia Edificio 6, 80126 Napoli, Italy
[2] INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte Sant'Angelo,
Via Cintia Edificio 6, 80126 Napoli, Italy

E-mail: † rosa@na.infn.it,
∗lucia.trozzo2603@gmail.com

Abstract. The Casimir Energy of a spherical surface characterized by its surface impedance is calculated. The material properties of the boundary are described by means of the Drude model, so that a generalization of previous results is obtained. The limits of the proposed approach are analyzed and a solution is suggested. The possibility of modulating the sign of the Casimir force from positive (repulsion) to negative (attraction) is studied.

PACS numbers: 12.20.Ds, 03.70.+k, 12.39.Ba

1. Introduction

Casimir force is one of the few macroscopic manifestations of quantum mechanics. Indeed the (generally attractive) force between two parallel conducting plates in vacuum is directly connected to the vacuum fluctuations of the electro-magnetic field within the plates [1]. Because the Casimir force becomes dominant at the nanometer scale it could constitute a strong limitation in the production of nanodevices [2]. For this reasons, during last years people tried to understand under what conditions it is possible to change the sign of the force from attractive to repulsive [3].

It was Boyer [4] in 1974 the first one to obtain a repulsive Casimir force between two plates, one perfectly conducting and the other infinitely permeable (see also [5, 6, 7, 8]). In [3] the authors showed that, under suitable conditions, the transition between attractive and repulsive regime only depends on the Surface Impedance (SI) of the material constituting the boundary. Since then a lot of effort in that direction has been done, see [9, 10, 11, 12] and references there in. As far as we know the first ones to use the SI to compute Casimir energy were Mostepanenko and Trunov in [13].

In this paper we follow a twofold line of reasoning, from one side we want to extend a previous analysis [12] (to which we refer for details) to a more general case. At the same time, we would like to understand the formal limitation of that approach (if any), see conclusions in [12]. In this way, studying the structure of the divergencies appearing, we succeed in fixing some computation errors present in [12], and we clarify what are the difficulties encountered.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 the general setup of the calculation is introduced, in section 3 it is applied to the case under consideration, and in section 4 we give our conclusions. In the appendix we collect some relevant formulae.

2. Casimir energy and the zeta function regularization

The Casimir energy is the vacuum expectation value of the Hamiltonian operator on the ground state [14, 15]:

$$E_{Cas} = \langle 0 \left| H \right| 0 \rangle = \sum_J \frac{1}{2} E_J \tag{1}$$

where E_J are the energy eigenvalues labeled by some index J. In general the sum is divergent and a regularization is necessary. In the following we will use the zeta-

function regularization [14]. In this approach a convenient exponent s is introduced in the sum (1) so to make it convergent. The final result is recovered by a limiting procedure:

$$E_{Cas} = \lim_{s\to -1/2} \frac{\mu^{2s+1}}{2} \sum E_J^{(-2s)} =: \lim_{s\to -1/2} \mu^{2s+1} \zeta_H(s) \tag{2}$$

where $\zeta_H(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function relative to the operator H and μ is a dimensional parameter introduced so to have E_J adimensional. It will disappear on removing the regularization in the limit $s \to -1/2$.

The main problem is that no explicit expression for the eigenvalues exists. One way of overcoming this difficulty is by means of the Cauchy argument principle [16]:

If Δ is analytic in a region Ω, except for poles x and zeros y, and $g(z)$ is analytic in Ω then

$$\sum_l g(x_l) - \sum_m g(y_m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma g(k) \log(\Delta(k)) \tag{3}$$

where γ is a closed contour in Ω that does not pass through any of the zeros and poles, and contains all the zeros x_l and poles y_l of the function Δ.

Thus, choosing the function $\Delta(k)$ such that its zeros coincide with the eigenvalues of our problem and has no poles inside γ we have

$$\zeta_H = \sum_J \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma g(k) \log(\Delta_J(k)). \tag{4}$$

For this reason Δ is called the generating function. The sum over J takes into account possible degeneracy of the eigenvalues.

In the following we will use the SI to obtain the generating function. The SI of a surface material (Σ) is defined through the equation [14, 17]

$$\mathbf{E}_t \mid_\Sigma = Z(\mathbf{H} \times n) \mid_\Sigma \tag{5}$$

where n is the outward normal to the surface. It relates the tangential components of the fields outside the material whose properties are encoded in Z [18]. The big advantage is that, in all the cases in which the dielectric properties of the material $\epsilon,\ \mu$ are known, a direct relation exists between them and Z:

$$Z = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}$$

otherwise equation (5) can be viewed as a functional definition for the SI (see [11] and references there in).

3. The Casimir Energy for a sphere

In this section, we will concentrate on the case of the electromagnetic field in a sphere of radius a. By means of (5) we will obtain the generating function $\Delta_J(k)$ so to compute the ζ_H.

The electric and magnetic field within the sphere can be written as [14, 17, 19, 20, 21]

$$\mathbf{E} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{ka} A^{TE}[i\hat{\mathbf{n}} j_\nu(kr) Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) + (krj_\nu(kr))'\hat{n}\times \mathbf{X}_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi)] + A^{TM} j_\nu(kr)\mathbf{X}_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{\omega\mu}\{A^{TE} j_\nu(kr)\mathbf{X}_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) - i\frac{A^{TM}}{rk}[i\hat{\mathbf{n}} j_\nu(kr) Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) + (krj_\nu(kr))'\hat{n}\times \mathbf{X}_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi)] \tag{7}$$

where $Y_{l,m}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{l,m}$ are the scalar and the vectorial spherical harmonics respectively [17], $k=\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}\omega$ and $j_\nu(x)=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}}J_\nu(x)$ [22].

In the spherical geometry the regularized Casimir energy is given by

$$E_{Cas} = \lim_{s\to -\frac{1}{2}} \mu^{2s+1}\zeta_H(s) \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta_H(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(2l+1)(\omega_{n,l}^2+m^2)^{-s}$$

where $\omega_{n,l}$ are the eingenmodes, and $\hbar = c = 1$ is assumed. On imposing the boundary conditions (5) the eigenfrequencies for the TE and TM modes are implicitly obtained [12]:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_\nu^{TE}(ka) := [\frac{i}{ka}(kaj_\nu(ka))' - Zj_\nu(ka)]A^{TE} = 0 \\ \\ \Delta_\nu^{TM}(ka) := [-Z\frac{i}{ka}(kaj_\nu(ka))' + j_\nu(ka)]A^{TM} = 0 \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

so that the generating function $\Delta_J(k)$ is given by: $\Delta_\nu(k) = \Delta_\nu^{TE}\Delta_\nu^{TM}$ with $\nu = l+\frac{1}{2}$.

In conclusion we can write [23]

$$\zeta_H(s) = \sum_{\nu=3/2}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{\pi i}\oint_\gamma dk(k^2+m^2)^{-s}\frac{\partial}{\partial k} log[(ka)^{-2\nu}\Delta_\nu(ka)]. \tag{9}$$

Shifting the integration contour along the imaginary axis $k\to ik$, we get the following formulae valid in the strip $1/2< \mathcal{R}(s) < 1$:

$$\zeta_H(s) = \frac{\sin(s\pi)}{\pi}\sum_{\nu=3/2}^{\infty}\nu\int_m^\infty dk(k^2-m^2)^{-s}\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\log\left[(ka)^{-2\nu}\tilde{\Delta}_\nu(ka)\right] \tag{10}$$

$$= \frac{\sin(s\pi)}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=3/2}^{\infty} \nu \int_{\frac{ma}{\nu}}^{\infty} dy \left[\left(\frac{y\nu}{a}\right)^2 - m^2 \right]^{-s} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \log \left[(y\nu)^{-2\nu} \tilde{\Delta}_\nu(y\nu) \right]$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu = \tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TE} \tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TM}$ is the mode generating function written along the imaginary axis and the $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TE(TM)}$ are given by

$$\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{(TM)}(y) = -Z\,(iy/a) \left[\frac{1}{2y} I_\nu(y) + \dot{I}_\nu(y) \right] + I_\nu(y)$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{(TE)}(y) = \left[\frac{1}{2} - Z\,(iy/a)\; y \right] I_\nu(y) + y\, \dot{I}_\nu(y),$$

$I_\nu(y) = exp(-i\nu\frac{\pi}{2}) J_\nu(iy)$ being the modified Bessel functions [22].

These formulae clarify the role played by the mass m. Indeed, the representation (9) is not defined for any value of s if $m = 0$. Thus we will do all the calculations with $m \neq 0$ and only in the end we let m go to zero.

Unfortunately we need to compute $\zeta_H(s)$ to the left of the strip $1/2 < \mathcal{R}(s) < 1$ where it is not defined. The general technique [23] to overcome this problem is to add and subtract the asymptotic term

$$h_{as}(y,\nu) = \log \left[(y\nu)^{-2\nu} \tilde{\Delta}_\nu(y\nu) \right]_{\nu\to\infty} \tag{11}$$

to the integrand so to move the strip of convergence to the left. In this way an asymptotic zeta function, $\zeta_{as}(s)$, is defined. If we are able to compute analytically the asymptotic term and, at the same time, to treat, at least numerically, the remaining one we can find the result. In particular, let us define $\zeta_N(s)$ as:

$$\zeta_H(s) - \zeta_{as}(s) + \zeta_{as}(s) =: \zeta_N(s) + \zeta_{as}(s). \tag{12}$$

We will compute $\zeta_{as}(s)$ analytically, while the remaining part, $\zeta_N(s)$, must be computed numerically but, because in general it is very small, we will ignore it.

A careful study of the various terms constituting $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TE}$ ($\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TM}$) [24] showed that a lot of cancellations occur between the asymptotic contributions of $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TE}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TM}$. Thus, from a computational point of view, it is worth to use $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu$ instead of $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TE}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^{TM}$ separately. This simplification allowed us to fix some errors present in [12] and to point out some problems connected with the emerging divergences (see conclusions).

3.1. The Drude model

In this section we compute the Casimir energy for a sphere whose properties are characterized by the surface impedance Z of a Drude model [24], i.e.

$$Z_{Drude}(i\frac{y\nu}{a}) = \sqrt{\frac{y(y+\sigma_\nu)}{y(y+\sigma_\nu)+\delta_\nu^2}} \tag{13}$$

where ω_P is the plasma frequency of the material, γ is the relaxation parameter, $\delta_\nu = \frac{y_a}{\nu}$, $y_a = a\omega_P\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}$, $\sigma_\nu = \frac{d_a}{\nu}$, $d_a = a\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}$.

To obtain the asymptotic values of $\tilde{\Delta}_\nu$ for $\nu \to \infty$ for fixed $\frac{k}{\nu}$ we make use of the following uniform asympotic expansion of the Bessel functions [22]:

$$I_\nu(y\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}}\frac{e^{\nu\eta(y)}}{(1+y^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{u_k(t)}{\nu^k}]$$
$$\dot{I}_\nu(y\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}}e^{\nu\eta(y)}\frac{(1+y^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{y}[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{v_k(t)}{\nu^k}]$$

with $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}$, $\eta = \sqrt{1+y^2} + \log(\frac{y}{1+\sqrt{1+y^2}})$ and $v_k(t)$ and $u_k(t)$ are the Debye's polynomials defined by the following recurrence relation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{k+1}(t) = \frac{t^2(1-t^2}{2})u_k'(t) + \frac{1}{8}\int_0^t dz(1-5z^2)u_k(z) \\ \\ v_k(t) = u_k(t) - t(1-t^2)[\frac{1}{2}u_{k-1}(t) + tu_{k-1}'(t)] \end{cases} \quad k = 0, 1, 2... \tag{14}$$

Inserting this expansion into the expression (10) and developing for $\nu \to \infty$ we obtain the asymptotic expression for the ζ-function:

$$\zeta_{as}(s) = \frac{\sin(s\pi)}{\pi}\sum_{l=3/2}^{\infty}\nu\int_{\frac{ma}{\nu}}^{\infty}dy\left[\left(\frac{y\nu}{a}\right)^2 - m^2\right]^{-s}h_{as}(\nu, y) \tag{15}$$

where $h_{as}(\nu, y)$ can be written as:

$$h_{as}(y,\nu) = \sum_{i=-1}^{n_{max}}\frac{D_i(y)}{\nu^i}. \tag{16}$$

The coefficients $D_i(y)$ are given in appendix up to $n_{max} = 5$

4. Results and conclusions

All the integrals in (15) can be computed using Eq. (20) given in the appendix. In this way, after developing around $m = 0$, summing over ν and developing around $s = 1/2$ [12], we obtain for the Casimir energy:

$$E_{Cas} = \frac{1}{a}\left[-0.328 - 0.504{y_a}^4 - 0.441 d_a {y_a}^4\right] + E_{div} \tag{17}$$

where

$$E_{div} = \frac{1}{a}\left[-0.111\log(a\mu) - \frac{0.055}{\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)} + {y_a}^4\left(0.318\log(a\mu) + \frac{0.035}{s+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + d_a {y_a}^4\left(0.132\log(a\mu) + \frac{0.330}{s+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \left(0.248{y_a}^4 - 0.528 d_a {y_a}^4\right)\log(\frac{m}{\mu})\right] + \frac{1}{a}\sum_{j=1}^{4}\frac{c_j}{(am)^j} \tag{18}$$

with the various coefficients c_j explicitly given in the appendix. We observe that the finite part of the Casimir energy is always negative and that the first corrections due to the material are at least of the fourth (y_a^4) and fifth ($d_a y_a^4$) order respectively. The structure of the divergencies appear, in a sense, more conventional than the one obtained in [12]. Indeed both the terms $1/(s+1/2)^2$ and $\log^2(a\mu)$ disappeared. This time all the (standard) divergencies are linear and can be eliminated by computing the principal part of the zeta function as usual in the zeta function regularization [25]. Very interesting is the the new term appearing in E_{div}: $\frac{1}{a}\sum_{j=1}^{4}\frac{c_j}{(am)^j}$. This term is completely absent in [12], as far we understand it is originated by the fact that the asymptotic expansions we used are non uniform with respect to $y_a(d_a) \in [0,\infty]$. For this reason to obtain the limit for $y_a \simeq \infty$, which reproduces the case of ideal conducting surface, it is necessary to develop with respect $y_a \simeq \infty$ first, and then perform the asymptotic expansion with respect to ν. In this way we find:

$$E_{Cas} = \frac{1}{a}\left\{0.084 + 0.008\log(a) + \frac{0.004}{\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{1}{y_a}\left[0.070 + d_a\left(-0.038 - 0.075\log\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + \frac{0.001}{\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{19}$$

in agreement with [26, 27].

In conclusion in this paper we extended the results of [12], obtained for the plasma model, to the case of the Drude model. We developed the calculation up to $n_{max} = 5$. The simultaneous treatment of the TE and TM generating functions allowed for a great simplification of the calculations. In this way we could fix some errors present in [12]. However, to better understand the structure of divergencies it would be desirable to have a *uniform* asymptotic expansion over the whole interval $y_a(d_a) \in [0, \infty]$ [28].

The possibility of obtaining negative values for the (finite part) of the Casimir energy (attractive force) is confirmed. The approach seems to be very general but the appearance of divergencies of increasing order with respect to the negative power of m deserves further analysis. The possibility of regularizing the obtained energy remains still an open question and it is currently under investigation.

5. APPENDIX

In the following $\xi = \sqrt{1+y^2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{-1}(y) &= \frac{2}{y}(\xi - 1); \quad D_0(y) = -\frac{(\xi+y)^2}{\xi^2 y}; \quad D_1(y) = \frac{1-y^2}{\xi^4} - \frac{5y^3}{4\xi^5} \\
D_2(y) &= \frac{{y_a}^2}{y^3} + \frac{-9y^5+10y^3+4y}{4\xi^8} + \frac{-9y^4+14y^2-2}{4\xi^7} \\
D_3(y) &= -\frac{3d_a{y_a}^2}{2y^4} + \frac{-401y^7+928y^5+112y^3-112y}{64\xi^{11}} + \frac{1-25y^6+70y^4-24y^2}{4\xi^{10}} \\
D_4(y) &= \frac{2{d_a}^2{y_a}^2}{y^5} + \frac{36y-341y^9+1330y^7-376y^5-316y^3}{16\xi^{14}} + \\
&\quad {y_a}^4\left(\frac{4y^2+3}{4\xi y^4} - \frac{1-y^2}{y^5}\right) + \frac{-1363y^8+5980y^6-4292y^4+512y^2-8}{64\xi^{13}} \\
D_5(y) &= -\frac{5{d_a}^3{y_a}^2}{2y^6} + d_a{y_a}^4\left(-\frac{1}{y^2} + \frac{5-6y^2}{2y^6} + \frac{-2y^4-7y^2-4}{2\xi y^5}\right) + \\
&\quad {y_a}^4\left(-\frac{3}{8y^4} + \frac{8y^4+13y^2-7}{8\xi^4 y^2} + \frac{-2y^4-3y^2-2}{2\xi^3 y^3}\right) + \\
&\quad \frac{-43085y^{11}+252384y^9-210320y^7-56784y^5+33568y^3-1312y}{512\xi^{17}} + \\
&\quad \frac{-1346y^{10}+8545y^8-10660y^6+3027y^4-151y^2+1}{16\xi^{16}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The relevant integral formula:

$$\int_{\frac{ma}{\nu}}^{\infty} \frac{y^b}{(1+y^2)^c}\left(\frac{\nu^2 y^2}{a^2}-m^2\right)^{-s} dy = \frac{\left(\frac{\nu^2}{a^2}\right)^{c-\frac{b+1}{2}}\Gamma(1-s)}{2\left(m^2\right)^{s+c-\frac{b+1}{2}}}\Gamma\left(c+s-\frac{b+1}{2}\right) \quad (20)$$
$$ {}_2F_1\left(c, c+s-\frac{b+1}{2}, c-\frac{1-b}{2}; -\frac{\nu^2}{a^2m^2}\right)$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the hypergeometric function [22]. The c_j coefficients in Eq. (18)

$$c_1 = 0.818 d_a {y_a}^4 + 0.234 {y_a}^4 + 0.115 {y_a}^2$$
$$c_2 = 0.080 {y_a}^4 - 0.073 d_a {y_a}^2$$
$$c_3 = 0.057 {d_a}^2 {y_a}^2 - 0.125 d_a {y_a}^4 - 0.0286 {y_a}^4$$
$$c_4 = 0.0486 d_a {y_a}^4 - 0.0486 {d_a}^3 {y_a}^2$$

6. References

[1] H. B. G. Casimir. On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates. *Proc. Koninkl. Ned. Akad. Wet.*, 51:793, 1948.

[2] E. Buks and M. L. Roukes. Stiction, adhesion energy, and the casimir effect in micromechanical systems. *Phys. Rev. B*, 63:033402, 2001.

[3] O. Kenneth, I. Klich, A. Mann, and M. Revzen. Repulsive Casimir forces. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 89:033001, 2002.

[4] T. H. Boyer. Van der waals forces and zero-point energy for dielectric and permeable materials. *Phys. Rev. A*, 9:2078, 1974.

[5] A. Lambrecht and I.G. Pirozhenko. The Casimir force between dissimilar mirrors and the role of the surface plasmons. *Phys.Rev.*, A78:062102, 2008.

[6] I.G. Pirozhenko and A. Lambrecht. Casimir repulsion and metamaterials. *J.Phys.*, A41:164015, 2008.

[7] Luigi Rosa and Astrid Lambrecht. Repulsive Casimir Force: Sufficient Conditions. *Phys.Rev.*, D82:065025, 2010.

[8] A.C. Tort D.T. Alves, C. Farina. Spontaneous emission between two parallel plates, one or both infinitely permeable. *Phys.Rev. A*, 61:034102, 2000.

[9] G.H. Cocoletzi and W. Luis Mochan. Spatial dispersion effects on the optical properties of an insulator–excitonic-semiconductor superlattice. *Phys. Rev. B*, 39:8403, 1989.

[10] B. Geyer, G.L. Klimchitskaya, and V.M. Mostepanenko. Surface-impedance approach solves problems with the thermal Casimir force between real metals. *Phys.Rev.*, A67:062102, 2003.

[11] Carlos Villarreal Raul Esquivel and W. Luis Mochán. Exact surface impedance formulation of the casimir force: Application to spatially dispersive metals. *Phys. Rev. A*, 68:052103, 2003.

[12] Luigi Rosa. Casimir Energy for a Spherical Boundary within Surface Impedance Approach: Obtaining Negative Values. *Phys.Rev.*, D86:105023, 2012.

[13] V. M. Mostepanenko and N. N. Trunov. Quantum field theory of the casimir effect for real media. *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, 42:818, 1985.

[14] M. Bordag, G.L. Klimchitskaya, U. Mohideen, and V.M. Mostepanenko. *Advances in the Casimir effect*, volume 145. Oxford University Press, 2009.

[15] G. Plunien, Berndt Muller, and W. Greiner. The Casimir Effect. *Phys.Rept.*, 134:87–193, 1986.

[16] L. V. Alfhors. *Complex Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979.

[17] J. D. Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. John Wiley Sons, New York, 1998.

[18] J.A. Stratton. *Electromagnetic Theory*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1941.

[19] G. Mie. Beiträge zur optik trüber medien, speziell kolloidaler metallösungen. *Ann. Phys.*, 25:377, 1908.

[20] P. Debye. Der lichtdruck auf kugeln von beliebigem material. *Ann. Physik*, 30:57, 1909.

[21] C.J. Bowkamp and H.B.G. Casimir. On multipole expansions in the theory of electromagnetic radiation. *Physica*, 20:539, 1954.

[22] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Dover Publications, 1972.

[23] K. Kirsten. *Spectral Functions in Mathematics and Physics*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, London, 2002.

[24] L. Trozzo. Effetto casimir in una superficie sferica: approccio con impedenza superficiale. Master's thesis, Phys. Dep. Universita' di Napoli Federico II, 2013 (Unpublished).

[25] M. Visser S. K. Blau and A. Wipf. Zeta functions and the casimir energy. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 310:163, 1988.

[26] S. Leseduarte and A. Romeo. Complete zeta-function approach to the electromagnetic casimir effect for spheres and circles. *Ann. Phys.*, 250:448, 1996.

[27] K. A. Milton. Fermionic casimir stress on a spherical bag. *Ann. Phys.*, 150:432, 1983.

[28] L. Rosa et al. In preparation.